

## Results of Enforcement before the Full Commission – August 24, 2004

### Requests for Reconsideration of Final Orders

1. **Harley Halverson**, Case #04-654

Initially found to have violated RCW 42.17.240 and assessed a penalty of \$100. The initial order entered on July 6, 2004 became a final order August 12, 2004. The Respondent made a request for review on July 14, 2004, which was treated as a petition for reconsideration because the Commission could not schedule a meeting to conduct this review within 20 business days of the date of the Respondent's request.

**Results:** No motion was made. Therefore, the Commission denied the Respondent's Petition for Reconsideration, and the final order remains a final order.

2. **Denise McDonald**, Case #04-653

Initially found to have violated RCW 42.17.240 and assessed a penalty of \$150. The initial order entered on July 6, 2004 became a final order August 23, 2004. The Respondent made a request for review on July 26, 2004, which was treated as a petition for reconsideration because the Commission could not schedule a meeting to conduct this review within 20 business days of the date of the Respondent's request.

**Results:** No motion was made. Therefore, the Commission denied the Respondent's Petition for Reconsideration, and the final order remains a final order.

3. **Frederick Ribary**, Case #04-581

Initially found to have violated RCW 42.17.240 and assessed a penalty of \$150. The initial order entered on July 6, 2004 became a final order August 13, 2004. The Respondent made a request for review on July 15, 2004, which was treated as a petition for reconsideration because the Commission could not schedule a meeting to conduct this review within 20 business days of the date of the Respondent's request.

**Results:** No motion was made. Therefore, the Commission denied the Respondent's Petition for Reconsideration, and the final order remains a final order.

4. **Mark Robert**, Case #04-574

Initially found to have violated RCW 42.17.240 and assessed a penalty of \$500. The initial order entered on July 6, 2004 became a final order August 17, 2004. The Respondent made a request for review on July 19, 2004, which was treated as a petition for reconsideration because the Commission could

not schedule a meeting to conduct this review within 20 business days of the date of the Respondent's request.

**Results:** No motion was made. Therefore, the Commission denied the Respondent's Petition for Reconsideration, and the final order remains a final order.

5. **Dwight Thompson**, Case #04-639

Initially found to have violated RCW 42.17.240 and assessed a penalty of \$150.

**Results:** The Commission acted to continue the Respondent's reconsideration hearing until further information can be gathered concerning the issues raised in his request.

Staff Reports

1. **Alfred J. Kiefer**, Case #04-656

Concerned an alleged violation of RCW 42.17.240, for the Respondent's failure to timely file the Statement of Financial Affairs by April 15, 2004. Due to the insufficiency of its penalty authority and in lieu of holding an enforcement hearing, the Commission accepted staff's recommendation and referred the matter to the Washington State Attorney General's Office for appropriate action, including seeking a court order compelling the Respondent to file the disclosure reports required by RCW 42.17.240.

2. **Gregory Nelson**, Case #04-655

Concerned an alleged violation of RCW 42.17.240, for the Respondent's failure to timely file the Statement of Financial Affairs by April 15, 2004. In lieu of holding an enforcement hearing, the Commission accepted staff's recommendation and referred the matter to the Washington State Attorney General's Office for appropriate action, including seeking a court order compelling the Respondent to file the disclosure reports required by RCW 42.17.240.

3. **Harry Oestreich**, Case #04-707

Concerned an alleged violation of RCW 42.17.240, for the Respondent's failure to timely file the Statement of Financial Affairs by April 15, 2004. In lieu of holding an enforcement hearing, the Commission accepted staff's recommendation and referred the matter to the Washington State Attorney General's Office for appropriate action, including seeking a court order compelling the Respondent to file the disclosure reports required by RCW 42.17.240.